PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference SP-P2093PC00	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416							
International application No. PCT/EP2005/051244	International filing date 17.03.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.03.2004							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C07D209/20, C07D417/12, C07D401/12, C07D403/12, C07D405/12, C07D409/12, C07C237/22, A61P9/12, A61K31/405										
Applicant SPEEDEL EXPERIMENTA AG et al.										
This report is the international property and transfer in the state of the sta	. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.								
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:								
a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and		•	•							
and/or sheets contair	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).									
☐ sheets which superson beyond the disclosur Supplemental Box.	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the									
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).										
4. This report contains indications r	elating to the following it	tems:								
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op	inion									
☐ Box No. II Priority										
	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
☑ Box No. IV Lack of unity o		and maderial applicability								
☑ Box No. V Reasoned stat										
☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited									
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application										
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observ	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report							
08.11.2005		01.03.2006								
Name and mailing address of the internatio preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office	nal	Authorized Officer	Justice No. Polante of							
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	656 epmu d	Cortés, J Telephone No. +49 89	2399-8206							

IAP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 SEP 2006 10/593460

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/051244

	Box No.	I Basis	of the rep	ort					
1.	With reg	ard to the I ess otherw	anguage, ise indicat	this report is bas ed under this iter	ed on the int	ernational a	application in	the langua	ge in which it w
	☐ This	report is b ch is the lar	ased on tr	anslations from t a translation furn	he original la ished for the	nguage into	o the following	g language	,
	□р	ublication o	of the inter	ınder Rules 12.3 national applicat ry examination (ı	ion (under R	ule 12.4)	55.3)		
2.	have bee	en furnishe	d to the re	of the internation ceiving Office in are not annexed	response to a	an invitatior	rt is based or o under Articlo	ı (replacem ∍ 14 are re	nent sheets whic ferred to in this
	Descripti	on, Pages							·
	1-47			as originally file	ed				
	Claims, N	lumbers							
	1-10		•	received on 11	.11.2005 with	letter of 08.1	1.2005		
	□ a se	quence list	ing and/or	any related table	(s) - see Sur	plemental	Box Relating	to Sequen	ce Listing
3.				sulted in the can	cellation of:				
		ne descripti ne claims, l							
	□ th	ne drawings	s, sheets/fi						
		ne sequend ny table(s)		<i>pecity)</i> : sequence listing	(specify):				
4.	had not b	report has been made, ental Box (, since the	blished as if (sor y have been con c)).	ne of) the an sidered to go	nendments beyond the	annexed to the disclosure a	nis report a us filed, as	nd listed below indicated in the
	□ th	ne descripti ne claims, l ne drawings	Nos. s, sheets <i>l</i> fi						
		ne sequend ny table(s)		sequence listing	(specify):				
		: 4	·	gome or all	of those o	ahoota ma	ha	ad 11 au	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/051244

	x No. III Non-establishment o olicability	of op	omion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
The	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ovious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,					
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 10					
	because:					
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 10 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
	no international search report h	as b	een established for the said claims Nos.			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further details					

IAP16 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 SEP 2006 10/593460

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/051244

	Box	k No. IV	Lack of unity of i	nventio	n						
1.		☐ restr☐ paid☐ paid☐	nse to the invitation icted the claims. additional fees. additional fees unde er restricted nor pai	er protes	t.	dditional fe	es, the ap	oplicant ha	s:		
2.	⊠	This Au	hority found that the 1, not to invite the a	e require	ment of un	ity of inven or pay addi	ition is no itional fee	t complied	with and	l chose, a	ccording to
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.1 is						.2 and 13.3					
		complie	d with.								
	\boxtimes	not com	plied with for the fol	lowing re	easons:						
		see sep	arate sheet								
4.	Con	sequentl	y, this report has be	en estal	olished in r	espect of th	ne followir	ng parts of	the inter	national a	pplication:
	\boxtimes	all parts									
		the parts	relating to claims N	los							
		No. V licability	Reasoned statem	ent und planatio	er Article ns suppor	35(2) with ting such s	regard to statemen	novelty,	inventiv	e step or	industrial
1.	Stat	ement									
	Nov	ovelty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10					
	Inve	entive step (IS)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-10					
	Indu	ıstrial apı	olicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-9					
2	Cita	tions and	Lexplanations (Rule	70.7):							

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 10 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion with regard to the industrial applicability will be formulated for this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item IV

Lack of unity of invention

The present application lacks unity of invention according to Rule 13.1 to 13.3 PCT, since different separate groups of inventions are claimed which are not linked by a single general inventive concept.

These groups are:

- 1 compounds of option (A)
- 2 compounds of option (B)
- 3 compounds of option (C)

The problem of the invention was the provision of new renin inhibitors for the treatment of hypertension.

D1 to D4 disclose compound groups with which the present groups of invention overlap substantially, and which are renin inhibitors for the treatment of hypertension.

New compounds of group 1 seem to differ from the structurally closest examples of the prior art in the hydroxy group of the methylene linker X.

New compounds of group 2 seem to differ from the structurally closest examples of the prior art in that the ring of R1 which is not directly bonded to X is substituted.

New compounds of group 3 seem to differ from the structurally closest examples of the

prior art in the choice of specific heterocycles for the substituent R1.

Amongs these groups of inventions there seems to be no common inventive concept, i.e. no unifying structural feature representing a contribution to the prior art.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The following documents have been cited in the International Search Report:

D1: EP-A-0 678 503 (NOVARTIS) 25 October 1995 (1995-10-25)

D2: WO 03/103653 A (ELAN) 18 December 2003 (2003-12-18)

D3: EP-A-0 678 514 (NOVARTIS) 25 October 1995 (1995-10-25)

D4: EP-A-0 678 500 (NOVARTIS) 25 October 1995 (1995-10-25)

D5: EP-A-0 716 077 (NOVARTIS) 12 June 1996 (1996-06-12)

D6: EP-A-0 702 004 (NOVARTIS) 20 March 1996 (1996-03-20)

Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The documents D1 and D2 discloses generic formulae wherein R1 is an optionally substituted alkylendioxybenzene, a condensed polyarene or a tetrahydronaphthalene (D1: e.g. page 3, formula (I), line 45) as well as specific compounds wherein R1 is (substituted) benzodioxine, benzodioxol and naphthalene (D1: e.g. page 40, example 4; page 51-52, example 46; page 53, examples 56-59; page 101, claim 25, line 3; page 102, claim 25, line 29, lines 49-56).

The meanings X is methylene and hydroxymethylene have been specifically disclosed in D1 and D2 (D1: e.g. claim 1).

D1 and D2 seem to disclose essentially the same compounds and generic formulae.

D3 and D4 disclose generic formulae which are encompassed by the present claim 1 (e.g. D3: R1 is an aromatic or a heteroaromatic residue; e.g. page 5, formula (lia) and (llb)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/051244

It seems to have been the Applicant's intention to exclude exemplified prior art compounds by defining three compound groups which substantially overlap with the scope of D1 to D4, but which exclude exemplified compounds. However, the overlap of the three defined compound groups with the generic groups disclosed in D1 to D4 is not a novel selection, since these compound groups lack a new particular specific structural feature or combination of features. E.g. if the present option (A) is regarded: the feature "X is hydroxymethylene" as well as specific examples for "R1 is heterocyclyl" and "R1 is a polycyclic radical" have been specifically disclosed e.g. in D1 and D2. None of these features can therefore represent a contribution to the prior art.

The present claim set is therefore not novel.

The present compounds differ from the compounds in D5 and D6 in the definition of X.

Inventive Step (Article 33(3) PCT)

D1 to D6 disclose renin inhibitors for the treatment of hypertension. D1 could be regarded as the closest prior art.

The problem of the invention was the provision of new renin inhibitors for the treatment of hypertension.

Since D1 discloses a generic group which overlaps with the present generic group, compounds which would be within the claimed scope if they had not been excluded by provisos, as well as their alleged pharmacology and medical use, the present claim set lacks an inventive step.

Clarity (Article 6 PCT)

The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's view that a skilled person would unambiguously understand the term "unsaturated hydrocarbon radical" as encompassing aromatic compounds. This should have been clarified in the claims.

The Applicant has amended the term "prodrug" in claim 1 by a functional definition. The

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/051244

problem is not that the term "prodrug" as such is unclear, but the term "prodrug" in combination with a structural formula renders the structural information contained in the formula and the substituent definition ambiguous. E.g. some structurally related examples in D1-D6 could be transformed in-vivo to structurally defined compounds of the present invention and therefore be "prodrugs" of the present compounds.

The claimed scope is therefore unclear because of the term "prodrug" and its amended functional definition.